



## Medica Central Coverage Policy

**Policy Name:** Genetic Testing: General Approach to Genetic Testing MP9610

**Effective Date:** January 01, 2025

### Important Information – Please Read Before Using This Policy

These services may or may not be covered by all Medica Central plans. Coverage is subject to requirements in applicable federal or state laws. Please refer to the member's plan document for other specific coverage information. If there is a difference between this general information and the member's plan document, the member's plan document will be used to determine coverage. With respect to Medicare, Medicaid, and other government programs, this policy will apply unless these programs require different coverage.

Members may contact Medica Customer Service at the phone number listed on their member identification card to discuss their benefits more specifically. Providers with questions may call the Provider Service Center. Please use the Quick Reference Guide on the Provider Communications page for the appropriate phone number. <https://mo-central.medica.com/Providers/SSM-employee-health-plan-for-IL-MO-OK-providers>

Medica Central coverage policies are not medical advice. Members should consult with appropriate health care providers to obtain needed medical advice, care, and treatment.

---

### OVERVIEW

Genetic testing refers to the use of technologies that identify genetic variation, which include genomic, transcriptional, proteomic, and epigenetic alterations, for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of disease. [Germline](#) variants or mutations are defined as genetic alterations that occur within the germ cells (egg or sperm), such that the alteration becomes incorporated into the DNA of every cell in the body, which can be passed down to future offspring. Somatic variants or mutations are defined as genetic alterations that occur at the level of the cell, such that the alteration is only in the DNA of that cell/group of cells and it cannot be passed down to offspring.

Some conditions, such as sickle cell disease, are caused by a single [germline](#) pathogenic variant, which can be passed down through generations. Other conditions, such as diabetes and heart disease, are more complex. These complex conditions are referred to as [multifactorial conditions](#), meaning that there is a combination of different inherited and environmental factors. Environmental factors, such as nutrition, exercise, weight, smoking, drinking alcohol, and medication use may influence the observable characteristics of the condition.

Solid tumor and hematologic malignancy genetic testing aims to identify somatic oncogenic mutations in cancer, which offers the potential to provide treatment options beyond the current standard of care.

Oncology [algorithmic tests](#) combine biomarkers and/or clinical data into an algorithm to generate a disease risk assessment, prognostic result, or clinical recommendation for treatment. Testing

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

methodologies commonly include Gene Expression Profiling (GEP), which analyzes messenger RNA (mRNA) typically of multiple genes simultaneously, multimarker serum analysis, single-nucleotide variant testing, plasma-based proteomic analysis, and incorporation of other clinical data into test outputs.

Targeted mutation testing (or known familial variant analysis) is the process of analyzing one single pathogenic or likely pathogenic (P/LP) variant in one gene. Generally, this type of testing is recommended when there is a known P/LP variant in an individual's close relative. Importantly, an individual meeting criteria for broader testing (i.e. full gene or multi-gene panel testing) based on clinical history should have broader testing performed. Of note, if a variant of unknown significance (VUS) is detected in an individual, it is not recommended that family members also be tested for the VUS, unless the VUS is reclassified to a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant.

Genetic counseling is recommended for patients who are at risk for inherited disorders and who are interested in undergoing genetic testing. Interpreting the results of genetic tests and understanding risk factors can be challenging. Genetic counseling helps in the understanding of the potential impacts of genetic testing, including possible effects the test results could have on the individual or their family members. Genetic counseling may alter the utilization of genetic testing substantially and has been shown to reduce inappropriate testing and should be performed by an individual with experience and expertise in genetic medicine and genetic testing methods.

In addition to the coverage criteria outlined below for reviewing specific classes of tests, state-specific regulations may also direct coverage for certain types of tests and may necessitate review of National Guidelines, National or Local Coverage Determinations and/or FDA approvals.

**The general approach to genetic and molecular testing criteria is intended for the evaluation of genetic or molecular testing that has not been more specifically addressed by other coverage criteria.**

### OTHER RELATED POLICIES

This policy document provides coverage criteria for the general approach to genetic testing for any genetic testing not specifically addressed in other related policies. Please refer to the following documents for specific criteria:

- *Genetic Testing: Prenatal Cell-free DNA Testing*
- *Genetic Testing: Prenatal Diagnosis (via amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS) and Pregnancy Loss*
- *Genetic Testing: Prenatal and Preconception Carrier Screening*
- *Genetic Testing: Preimplantation Genetic Testing*
- *Genetic Testing: Hereditary Cancer Susceptibility*
- *Oncology: Molecular Analysis of Solid Tumors and Hematologic Malignancies*
- *Oncology: Cancer Screening*
- *Oncology: Circulating Tumor DNA and Circulating Tumor Cells (Liquid Biopsy)*
- *Oncology: Algorithmic Testing*
- *Oncology: Cytogenetics*
- *Genetic Testing: Pharmacogenetics*
- *Genetic Testing: Multisystem Inherited Disorders, Intellectual Disability, and Developmental Delay*
- *Genetic Testing: Exome and Genome Sequencing for the Diagnosis of Genetic Disorders*
- *Genetic Testing: Epilepsy, Neurodegenerative, and Neuromuscular Disorders*

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

- *Genetic Testing: Hematologic Conditions (non-cancerous)*
- *Genetic Testing: Gastroenterologic Conditions (non-cancerous)*
- *Genetic Testing: Cardiac Disorders*
- *Genetic Testing: Aortopathies and Connective Tissue Disorders*
- *Genetic Testing: Hearing Loss*
- *Genetic Testing: Eye Disorders*
- *Genetic Testing: Immune, Autoimmune, and Rheumatoid Disorders*
- *Genetic Testing: Kidney Disorders*
- *Genetic Testing: Lung Disorders*
- *Genetic Testing: Metabolic, Endocrine, and Mitochondrial Disorders*
- *Genetic Testing: Dermatologic Conditions*
- *Genetic Testing: Skeletal Dysplasia and Rare Bone Disorders*

[back to top](#)

### COVERAGE CRITERIA

#### GENERAL APPROACH TO GENETIC TESTING

##### General Criteria for Known Familial Variant Analysis for a Genetic Condition

The criteria below is intended for the evaluation of genetic testing that has not been more specifically addressed by coverage criteria in another policy or another section of this policy.

- I. Targeted mutation analysis for a known familial variant for a genetic condition is considered **medically necessary** when:
  - A. The member is 18 years or older (if the condition is [adult-onset](#)), **AND**
  - B. The member has a [close relative](#) with a known pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant causing the condition, **AND**
  - C. An association between the gene and disease has been established.
- II. Targeted mutation analysis for a known familial variant of uncertain significance is considered **investigational**.
- III. Targeted mutation analysis for a known familial variant for a genetic condition is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

[back to top](#)

##### General Criteria for Targeted Carrier Screening

The criteria below is intended for the evaluation of genetic testing that has not been more specifically addressed by coverage criteria in another policy or another section of this policy.

Targeted carrier screening is defined as a test that screens via full gene sequencing or targeted mutation analysis for a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant in a gene associated with a specific genetic condition.

- I. Carrier screening for a genetic disorder may be considered **medically necessary** when:

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

- A. The member is considering pregnancy or is currently pregnant, **AND**
  - B. The genetic disorder is a [recessive condition](#) with a [childhood](#) onset, **AND**
  - C. One of the following:
    - 1. The member has a [close relative](#) with a known pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant associated with the disorder, **OR**
    - 2. The member's reproductive partner is a carrier for the genetic disorder, **OR**
    - 3. The member or the member's reproductive partner are members of a population known to have a carrier rate of 1% or higher for the genetic condition, **OR**
    - 4. The member or the member's reproductive partner has a [first- or second-degree relative](#) who is affected with the genetic disorder.
- II. Carrier screening for a genetic disorder is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

[back to top](#)

### General Criteria for Single Gene or Multigene Panel Analysis

The criteria below is intended for the evaluation of genetic testing that has not been more specifically addressed by coverage criteria in another policy or another section of this policy. State-level regulations may also necessitate review of National Guidelines, National or Local Coverage Determinations and/or FDA approvals.

- I. Genetic testing for a genetic condition via single-gene or multigene panel analysis may be considered **medically necessary** when:
  - A. The member displays clinical features of the suspected genetic condition, **AND**
  - B. The diagnosis remains uncertain after appropriate clinical evaluation and other standard laboratory tests/imaging/etc. have been performed, **AND**
  - C. The test has [clinical validity](#), as demonstrated by accurately determining diagnostic, prognostic or clinical information for a disease, **AND**
  - D. The test has [clinical utility](#), as demonstrated by at least one of the following:
    - 1. The test will determine if a particular therapeutic intervention is effective (or ineffective) in the member, or if a particular intervention may be harmful, **OR**
    - 2. The test will directly impact the member's clinical management, **OR**
    - 3. The test will determine prognosis, **OR**
    - 4. The test will provide or refine estimates of the natural history, recurrence risk, or the predicted course of the genetic condition, **AND**

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

- E. There is no known pathogenic or likely pathogenic familial variant for the genetic condition for which targeted variant analysis would be more appropriate, **AND**
  - F. Non-genetic causes for the member's clinical features have been ruled out (e.g., pathogens, drug toxicity, environmental factors, etc.), **AND**
  - G. An association with the gene or multigene panel and disease has been established.
- II. Genetic testing via single-gene or multigene panel analysis is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

[back to top](#)

### Prenatal Diagnosis for Single Gene Disorders

The criteria below is intended for the evaluation of genetic testing that has not been more specifically addressed by coverage criteria in another policy or another section of this policy. State-level regulations may also necessitate review of National Guidelines, National or Local Coverage Determinations and/or FDA approvals.

- I. Prenatal diagnosis for single-gene disorders via amniocentesis, CVS (chorionic villus sampling), or PUBS (percutaneous umbilical blood sampling), may be considered **medically necessary** when:
  - A. The member meets any of the following:
    - 1. At least one biological parent has a known pathogenic variant for an autosomal dominant condition, **OR**
    - 2. Both biological parents are known carriers of an autosomal recessive condition, **OR**
    - 3. One biological parent is suspected or known to be a carrier of an X-linked condition, **OR**
    - 4. The member has a history of a previous child with a genetic condition and the member is suspected to have [germline](#) mosaicism, **AND**
  - B. The natural history of the disease is well-understood, and there is a high likelihood that the disease has high morbidity, **AND**
  - C. The genetic test has adequate sensitivity and specificity to guide clinical decision making and residual risk is understood.
- II. Prenatal diagnosis for single-gene disorders via amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, for adult onset single-gene disorders (examples: hereditary cancer syndromes such as *BRCA1/2*, etc.) is considered **not medically necessary**.
- III. Prenatal diagnosis for single-gene disorders, via amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, is considered **investigational** for variants of unknown significance (VUS).
- IV. Prenatal diagnosis for single-gene disorders, via amniocentesis, CVS, or PUBS, is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

[back to top](#)

### General Criteria for Tumor Biomarker Analysis

The criteria below is intended for the evaluation of genetic testing that has not been more specifically addressed by coverage criteria in another policy or another section of this policy. State-level regulations may also necessitate review of National Guidelines, National or Local Coverage Determinations and/or FDA approvals.

- I. General tumor biomarker analysis\* is **medically necessary** when:
  - A. The member has a confirmed neoplasm and/or malignancy, **AND**
  - B. The test has [clinical validity](#), as demonstrated by accurately determining diagnostic, prognostic or clinical information for a disease, **AND**
  - C. The test has [clinical utility](#), as demonstrated by at least one of the following:
    1. The test will determine if a particular therapeutic intervention is effective (or ineffective) in the member, or if a particular intervention may be harmful, **OR**
    2. The test will directly impact the member's clinical management, **OR**
    3. The test will determine prognosis, **OR**
    4. The test will provide or refine estimates of the natural history, recurrence risk, or the predicted course of the genetic condition, **AND**
  - D. Testing is being performed in a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) approved laboratory.
- II. General tumor biomarker analysis is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

\*See the Oncology: Molecular Analysis of Solid Tumors and Hematologic Malignancies policy for criteria regarding common mutation analysis for tumor testing.

[back to top](#)

### General Criteria for Oncology Algorithmic Tests

The criteria below is intended for the evaluation of genetic testing that has not been more specifically addressed by coverage criteria in another policy or another section in this policy. State-level regulations may also necessitate review of National Guidelines, National or Local Coverage Determinations and/or FDA approvals.

- I. Oncology [algorithmic testing](#)\* is considered **medically necessary** when:
  - A. The member has a suspected or confirmed neoplasm and/or malignancy, **AND**
  - B. The test has [clinical validity](#), as demonstrated by accurately determining diagnostic, prognostic or clinical information for a disease, **AND**
  - C. The test has [clinical utility](#), as demonstrated by at least one of the following:

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

1. The test will determine if a particular therapeutic intervention is effective (or ineffective) in the member, or if a particular intervention may be harmful, **OR**
2. The test will directly impact the member's clinical management, **OR**
3. The test will determine prognosis, **OR**
4. The test will provide or refine estimates of the natural history, recurrence risk, or the predicted course of the genetic condition.

II. Oncology [algorithmic testing](#) is considered **investigational** for all other indications.

\*See the Oncology: Algorithmic testing policy for criteria regarding common [algorithmic tests](#).

[back to top](#)

### General Criteria for Other Tests

The criteria below is intended for the evaluation of testing that has not been more specifically addressed by coverage criteria in another policy or another section of this policy. State-level regulations may also necessitate review of National Guidelines, National or Local Coverage Determinations and/or FDA approvals.

- I. Other tests are considered **medically necessary** when:
  - A. The member displays relevant clinical features consistent with the intended use of the test, **AND**
  - B. The test has [clinical validity](#), as demonstrated by accurately determining diagnostic, prognostic or clinical information for a disease, **AND**
  - C. The test has [clinical utility](#), as demonstrated by at least one of the following:
    1. The test will determine if a particular therapeutic intervention is effective (or ineffective) in the member, or if a particular intervention may be harmful, **OR**
    2. The test will directly impact the member's clinical management, **OR**
    3. The test will determine prognosis, **OR**
    4. The test will provide or refine estimates of the natural history, recurrence risk, or the predicted course of the disease or genetic condition, **AND**
  - D. Testing is being performed in a Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) approved laboratory.
- II. Other tests are considered **investigational** for all other indications.

[back to top](#)

### PRIOR AUTHORIZATION

Prior authorization is not required. However, services with specific coverage criteria may be reviewed retrospectively to determine if criteria are being met. Retrospective denial may result if

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

criteria are not met.

### DEFINITIONS

1. An **adult-onset condition** is one in which the signs, symptoms, or manifestations of a disease typically begin after a person is age 18 years or older.
2. **Childhood** is the period of development until the 18th birthday.
3. **Germline** pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants are mutations that occur in the egg and sperm cells, also known as the germ cells. These variants are inherited; that is, passed down in families by blood relatives. Most germline mutations do not result in disease.
4. **Multifactorial conditions** are complex conditions that are inherited and may be caused by a combination of the effects of multiple genes or by interactions between genes and the environment.
5. **Close relatives** include first, second, and third degree blood relatives on the same side of the family:
  - a. **First-degree relatives** are parents, siblings, and children
  - b. **Second-degree relatives** are grandparents, aunts, uncles, nieces, nephews, grandchildren, and half siblings
  - c. **Third-degree relatives** are great grandparents, great aunts, great uncles, great grandchildren, and first cousins
6. **Clinical validity**, according to the National Institutes of Health-Department of Energy (NIH-DOE) Task Force on Genetic Testing, describes the accuracy with which a test identifies a particular clinical condition. The components of measuring clinical validity are:
  - a. **Sensitivity**: among people with a specific condition, the proportion who have a positive test result
  - b. **Specificity**: among people who do not have the condition, the proportion who have a negative test result
  - c. **Positive predictive value**: among people with a positive test result, the proportion of people who have the condition
  - d. **Negative predictive value**: among people with a negative test result, the proportion who do not have the condition
7. **Clinical utility** refers to the risks and benefits resulting from genetic test use. The most important considerations in determining clinical utility are: (1) whether the test and any subsequent interventions lead to an improved health outcome among people with a positive test result; and (2) what risks occur as a result of testing.
8. An **algorithmic test** is one that combines biomarkers and clinical data into an algorithm to generate a disease risk assessment, prognostic result, or clinical recommendation for treatment.
9. A **recessive condition** is one in which both copies of a gene have a mutation (autosomal recessive inheritance), or an individual with one X chromosome is hemizygous for a mutation, resulting in an X-linked recessive condition.

[back to top](#)

### BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE

#### General Criteria for Known Familial Variant Analysis for a Genetic Condition

##### *Genetic Support Foundation*

The Genetic Support Foundation's Genetics 101 information on inheritance patterns says the



## Medica Central Coverage Policy

following about testing for familial pathogenic variants:

“Genetic testing for someone who may be at risk for an inherited disease is always easier if we know the specific genetic cause. Oftentimes, the best way to find the genetic cause is to start by testing someone in the family who is known or strongly suspected to have the disease. If their testing is positive, then we can say that we have found the familial pathogenic (harmful) variant. We can use this as a marker to test other members of the family to see who is also at risk.”

*National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC)*

The National Society of Genetic Counselors updated a position statement (2017) regarding the genetic testing of minors for adult-onset conditions, stating the following:

“[NSGC] encourages deferring predictive genetic testing of minors for adult-onset conditions when results will not impact childhood medical management or significantly benefit the child. Predictive testing should optimally be deferred until the individual has the capacity to weigh the associated risks, benefits, and limitations of this information, taking his/her circumstances, preferences, and beliefs into account to preserve his/her autonomy and right to an open future.”

### General Criteria for Targeted Carrier Screening

*American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)*

ACOG published practice bulletin No. 690 (March 2017, reaffirmed 2023), which includes the following recommendations related to carrier screening:

- Given the multitude of conditions that can be included in expanded carrier screening panels, the disorders selected for inclusion should meet several of the following consensus-determined criteria: have a carrier frequency of 1 in 100 or greater, have a well-defined phenotype, have a detrimental effect on quality of life, cause cognitive or physical impairment, require surgical or medical intervention, or have an onset early in life. Additionally, screened conditions should be able to be diagnosed prenatally and may afford opportunities for antenatal intervention to improve perinatal outcomes, changes to delivery management to optimize newborn and infant outcomes, and education of the parents about special care needs after birth.
- Carrier screening panels should not include conditions primarily associated with a disease of adult onset. (p. e36)

ACOG published practice bulletin No. 691 (March 2017, reaffirmed 2023), which includes the following recommendations related to carrier screening:

- Information about carrier screening should be provided to every pregnant woman.
- Carrier screening and counseling ideally should be performed before pregnancy because this enables couples to learn about their reproductive risk and consider the most complete range of reproductive options. A patient may decline any or all screening.
- When an individual is found to be a carrier for a genetic condition, his or her relatives are at risk of carrying the same mutation. The patient should be encouraged to inform his or her relatives of the risk and the availability of carrier screening.
- If an individual is found to be a carrier for a specific condition, the patient’s reproductive partner should be offered testing in order to receive informed genetic counseling about potential reproductive outcomes.
- If both partners are found to be carriers of a genetic condition, genetic counseling should be

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

offered. (p. 597)

### General Criteria for Single Gene or Multigene Panel Analysis

*American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the Association for Molecular Pathology (AMP)*

- The ACMG and AMP released criteria on the types and severity of mutations, which are as follows:
  - **Very strong evidence of pathogenicity:** Null variants in a gene where loss of function (LOF) is a known mechanism of disease. The guidelines note to use caution in genes where LOF is not a mechanism, if LOF variants are at the 3' end, if exon skipping occurs, and if multiple transcripts are present.
  - **Strong:** Amino acid change to a pathogenic version, de novo mutations, established studies supporting a damaging gene or gene product, or if the prevalence of the variant is increased in affected individuals compared to healthy controls. The guidelines note to be careful of changes impacting splicing and if only the paternity has been confirmed.
  - **Moderate:** Located in a mutational hot spot or well-established functional domain without a benign variant, absent from controls in Exome Sequencing Project, 1000 Genomes Project, or Exome Aggregation Consortium, detected in trans with pathogenic variants for a recessive disorder, protein length changes, novel missense changes where a different missense change has been pathogenic before, and a possible de novo mutation.
  - **Supporting:** Cosegregation with disease in multiple affected family members in a gene definitively known to cause the disease, missense variant in a gene with low rate of benign missense variation, if the mutation has evidence that it is deleterious, or if the patient's phenotype is highly specific for disease with a single genetic cause. (p. 412)

*American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)*

The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics Board of Directors (2015) published a position statement regarding the clinical utility of genetic and genomic services that stated the following regarding individuals and situations where a definitive genetic diagnosis has clinical utility:

#### Clinical Utility for Individual Patients

- Situations in which definitive diagnosis specifically informs causality, prognosis, and treatment
- Newborn screening for conditions recommended by the Secretary's Discretionary Advisory Committee on Heritable Disorders of Newborns and Children
- The discovery of medically actionable secondary findings in the course of genomic testing that have associated treatments that improve/affect outcome
- Patients with complex and often poorly understood clinical disorders such as autism spectrum disorders and intellectual disability
- Patients with rare disorders, including those diagnosed by chromosome analysis (such as karyotype) or microarray
- Patients with genetic conditions such that definitive and specific guidance regarding prognosis and medical management is not yet available

#### Clinical Utility for Families

- Enables at-risk family members to obtain testing to determine whether they carry a causative mutation, offering the possibility for early intervention. This clinical utility is independent of whether the affected family member has benefited directly from this diagnosis.

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

- Enables specific and informed reproductive decision-making and family planning.
- Brings resolution to the costly (in terms of both psychosocial and financial contexts) and wasteful (for the medical system at large) diagnostic odyssey that is often pursued in a quest to establish a diagnosis. There are countless examples of economic and psychological costs to the health-care system and to patients and families during the quest to obtain a diagnosis.
- Enables involvement in disease support groups and other types of social support for families.

### Clinical Utility for Society

- Understanding the etiology of disease and increased accrual into clinical trials will propel research, benefitting society as a whole.
- Many genetic disease risks can be identified decades before the time when benefits accrue to the individual or their family members. In the current health-care environment, cost-effectiveness often is measured by return on investment to payers and is measured over much shorter time periods, despite long-term benefits to population health. (p. 506)

### *National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC)*

The National Society of Genetic Counselors released a position statement (2017) (reaffirmed 2020 and 2023) endorsing the use of multi-gene panels when clinically warranted and appropriately applied, stating the following:

“These tests can provide a comprehensive and efficient route to identifying the genetic causes of disease. Before ordering a multi-gene panel test, providers should thoroughly evaluate the analytic and clinical validity of the test, as well as its clinical utility. Additional factors to consider include, but are not limited to: clinical and family history information, gene content of the panel, limitations of the sequencing and informatics technologies, and variant interpretation and reporting practices.

Panels magnify the complexities of genetic testing and underscore the value of experts, such as genetic counselors, who can educate stakeholders about appropriate utilization of the technology to mitigate risks of patient harm and unnecessary costs to the healthcare system. NSGC supports straightforward and transparent pricing so that patients, providers, laboratories, and health plans can easily weigh the value of genetic testing in light of its cost.”

The National Society of Genetic Counselors updated a position statement (2017) regarding the genetic testing of minors for adult-onset conditions, stating the following:

“[NSGC] encourages deferring predictive genetic testing of minors for adult-onset conditions when results will not impact childhood medical management or significantly benefit the child. Predictive testing should optimally be deferred until the individual has the capacity to weigh the associated risks, benefits, and limitations of this information, taking his/her circumstances, preferences, and beliefs into account to preserve his/her autonomy and right to an open future.”

### *American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)*

In their 2013 joint technical report, the AAP and ACMG state the following:

“Decisions about whether to offer genetic testing and screening should be driven by the best interest of the child.” (p. 234)

“The AAP and the ACMG do not support routine carrier testing or screening for recessive conditions when carrier status has no medical relevance during minority”. (p. 236)

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

“Predictive genetic testing for adult onset conditions generally should be deferred unless an intervention initiated in childhood may reduce morbidity or mortality”. (p. 238)

*Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)*

The CDC’s Office of Public Health Genomics developed the ACCE Model (Analytic Validity, Clinical Validity, Clinical Utility, and Ethical/Legal/Social Implications), which is a clinical framework in which to evaluate a genetic test. The ACCE model process “...is composed of a standard set of 44 targeted questions that address disorder, testing, and clinical scenarios, as well as analytic and clinical validity, clinical utility, and associated ethical, legal, and social issues.” A complete list of the 44 targeted questions referenced can be found at the following website:

[https://www.cdc.gov/genomics/gtesting/acce/acce\\_proj.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/genomics/gtesting/acce/acce_proj.htm)

### **Prenatal Diagnosis for Single Gene Disorders**

*National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC)*

The National Society of Genetic Counselors updated a position statement (2019) regarding prenatal testing for adult-onset conditions, stating the following:

“The National Society of Genetic Counselors (NSGC) does not recommend prenatal genetic testing for known adult-onset conditions if pregnancy or childhood management will not be affected. Due to potential medical and ethical complexities, NSGC recommends that prior to undergoing testing, prospective parents meet with a genetic counselor or other healthcare specialists with genetics expertise to discuss the implications of prenatal testing for adult-onset conditions. Pre-test counseling should include a discussion of the natural history of the condition, availability of treatments or interventions, concerns that prenatal testing for adult-onset conditions may deny a child’s future autonomy, and potential for genetic discrimination.”

*American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)*

ACOG practice bulletin 162 (published 2016, reaffirmed 2020) states the following:

All pregnant women should be offered prenatal assessment for aneuploidy by screening or diagnostic testing regardless of maternal age or other risk factors. Patients with an increased risk of a fetal genetic disorder include those in the following categories:

- Older maternal age
- Older paternal age
- Prior child with structural birth defect
- Previous fetus or child with autosomal trisomy or sex chromosome aneuploidy
- Structural anomalies identified by ultrasonography
- Parental carrier of chromosome rearrangement
- Parental aneuploidy or aneuploidy mosaicism
- Parental carrier of a genetic disorder
- Biological parent who is affected by an autosomal dominant disorder. (p. e112-e113)

Some autosomal dominant disorders seen in a previous child but with no other family history may have arisen as a new mutation. In such cases, there may be a small increased risk of recurrence, depending on the disorder. To ensure that any testing for recurrence is informative, a diagnosis established by molecular testing of the affected child usually is necessary. Such confirmation also

## **Medica Central Coverage Policy**

will ensure that the risk for a future pregnancy has been assessed accurately.

### *American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG)*

ACOG released a committee opinion (no. 693) in April 2017 (reaffirmed 2020) regarding counseling about genetic testing and communication of genetic test results.

The opinion states: “As with any medical test, expectations regarding the performance of a genetic test should be discussed with the patient before the test is ordered. Pretest counseling that includes information on the types of potential results as well as the risks, limitations, and benefits of testing should be provided to all patients before performing any form of genetic test. After counseling, patients should have the option to decline any or all testing.” (p. 1)

A discussion of the sensitivity and specificity of the test for each of the disorders being tested is important to ensure patient understanding. For example, in the case of expanded carrier screening, patients should be informed of the overall range of the carrier detection rate and the range of residual risk of the disorders examined. With reference to each patient’s specific a priori risk, the patient should be informed of the meaning and significance of positive, negative, or indeterminate test results, as well as results that are normal but may have variable phenotypes. This discussion of the positive predictive value and negative predictive value of the test result facilitates a discussion of the potential need for follow-up diagnostic testing. (p. 3)

### **General Criteria for Tumor Biomarker Analysis**

#### *National Comprehensive Cancer Network*

The NCCN guidelines for Occult Primary (1.2025) recommend somatic/tumor molecular genetic testing for patients who are candidates for anti-cancer therapy in order to identify uncommon genetic changes within the tumors (p. OCC-1A).

#### *Hayes, et al*

In an article by Hayes, et al (2020), the authors state that while there is no strict definition of clinical utility for tumor biomarker tests (TBT), there are several factors that should be considered when deciding on the overall clinical utility (p. 238):

- (1) What is the intended use of the tumor biomarker test?
- (2) What are the endpoints that are used to determine clinical utility?
- (3) How substantial does the difference in endpoints between groups defined by the TBT need to be to determine therapeutic strategies?
- (4) What is the risk tolerance of the stakeholders?
- (5) Who are the stakeholders that make the decision?

The authors note that “for a TBT to have clinical utility, it must have high analytical validity and be shown, with high levels of evidence, to improve outcomes compared with if the TBT results are not known. A pragmatic determination of clinical utility is dependent on several factors, including what end point is considered, how large the difference in that end point must be to apply the TBT, the level of evidence that exists to support the decision to apply the TBT, and the risk tolerance of whichever stakeholder makes the decision to apply it. None of these factors can be the absolute determinant, but they must be included in the deliberations of whether a TBT does or does not have

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

clinical utility.” (p. 239)

*Burke, et al*

This article from the NIH defines clinical validity and clinical utility, provides examples, and considers the implications of these test properties for clinical practice. When a test is used diagnostically, clinical validity measures the accuracy with which the test identifies a person with the clinical condition in question. The positive and negative predictive values of the test are important measures of clinical validity. These measures allow the clinician to determine how reliably the test can confirm or refute a suspected diagnosis. (p. 2-3)

Table 9.15.1 (p. 12) describes the test properties of measuring clinical validity as follows:

- Sensitivity: Among people with a specific condition, the proportion who have a positive test result
- Specificity: Among people who do not have the condition, the proportion who have a negative test result
- Positive predictive value: Among people with a positive test result, the proportion who have the condition
- Negative predictive value: Among people with a negative test result, the proportion who do not have the condition

*Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)*

The CDC’s Office of Public Health Genomics developed the ACCE Model (Analytic Validity, Clinical Validity, Clinical Utility, and Ethical/Legal/Social Implications), which is a clinical framework in which to evaluate a genetic test. The ACCE model process “...is composed of a standard set of 44 targeted questions that address disorder, testing, and clinical scenarios, as well as analytic and clinical validity, clinical utility, and associated ethical, legal, and social issues.” A complete list of the 44 targeted questions referenced can be found at the following website:

[https://www.cdc.gov/genomics/gtesting/acce/acce\\_proj.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/genomics/gtesting/acce/acce_proj.htm)

### General Criteria for Oncology Algorithmic Tests

*Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)*

The CDC’s Office of Public Health Genomics developed the ACCE Model (Analytic Validity, Clinical Validity, Clinical Utility, and Ethical/Legal/Social Implications), which is a clinical framework in which to evaluate a genetic test. The ACCE model process “...is composed of a standard set of 44 targeted questions that address disorder, testing, and clinical scenarios, as well as analytic and clinical validity, clinical utility, and associated ethical, legal, and social issues.” A complete list of the 44 targeted questions referenced can be found at the following website:

[https://www.cdc.gov/genomics/gtesting/acce/acce\\_proj.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/genomics/gtesting/acce/acce_proj.htm)

*Burke, et al*

This article from the NIH defines clinical validity and clinical utility, provides examples, and considers the implications of these test properties for clinical practice. When a test is used diagnostically, clinical validity measures the accuracy with which the test identifies a person with the clinical condition in question. The positive and negative predictive values of the test are important measures of clinical validity. These measures allow the clinician to determine how reliably the test can confirm or refute a suspected diagnosis. (p. 2-3)

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

Table 9.15.1 (p. 12) describes the test properties of measuring clinical validity as follows:

- Sensitivity: Among people with a specific condition, the proportion who have a positive test result
- Specificity: Among people who do not have the condition, the proportion who have a negative test result
- Positive predictive value: Among people with a positive test result, the proportion who have the condition
- Negative predictive value: Among people with a negative test result, the proportion who do not have the condition

Clinical utility refers to the risks and benefits resulting from genetic test use. The most important considerations in determining clinical utility are: (1) whether the test and any subsequent interventions lead to an improved health outcome among people with a positive test result; and (2) what risks occur as a result of testing. (p. 6)

### *The American Association for Clinical Chemistry (AACC)*

An online article released from the AACC in 2018 defined and reviewed the use of multianalyte assays with algorithmic analyses (MAAAs). They state:

“...these tests combine results from two or more biochemical or molecular markers, along with patient demographics and clinical information, into an algorithm to generate diagnostic, prognostic, or predictive information for a disease. In cases where single biomarker tests lack acceptable clinical sensitivity and specificity, MAAAs can improve or refine disease detection through individualized risk assessment.

Incorporating multiple biochemical or molecular analytes into algorithms with or without clinical information allows for a personalized risk assessment of a patient’s disease.”

### **General Criteria for Other Tests**

#### *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)*

The CDC’s Office of Public Health Genomics developed the ACCE Model (Analytic Validity, Clinical Validity, Clinical Utility, and Ethical/Legal/Social Implications), which is a clinical framework in which to evaluate a genetic test. The ACCE model process “...is composed of a standard set of 44 targeted questions that address disorder, testing, and clinical scenarios, as well as analytic and clinical validity, clinical utility, and associated ethical, legal, and social issues.” A complete list of the 44 targeted questions referenced can be found at the following website:

[https://www.cdc.gov/genomics/gtesting/acce/acce\\_proj.htm](https://www.cdc.gov/genomics/gtesting/acce/acce_proj.htm)

#### *Burke, et al*

This article from the NIH defines clinical validity and clinical utility, provides examples, and considers the implications of these test properties for clinical practice. When a test is used diagnostically, clinical validity measures the accuracy with which the test identifies a person with the clinical condition in question. The positive and negative predictive values of the test are important measures of clinical validity. These measures allow the clinician to determine how reliably the test can confirm or refute a suspected diagnosis. (p. 2-3)

Table 9.15.1 (p. 12) describes the test properties of measuring clinical validity as follows:

## Medica Central Coverage Policy

- Sensitivity: Among people with a specific condition, the proportion who have a positive test result
- Specificity: Among people who do not have the condition, the proportion who have a negative test result
- Positive predictive value: Among people with a positive test result, the proportion who have the condition
- Negative predictive value: Among people with a negative test result, the proportion who do not have the condition

Clinical utility refers to the risks and benefits resulting from genetic test use. The most important considerations in determining clinical utility are: (1) whether the test and any subsequent interventions lead to an improved health outcome among people with a positive test result; and (2) what risks occur as a result of testing. (p. 6)

[back to top](#)

### REFERENCES

1. ACMG Board of Directors. Clinical utility of genetic and genomic services: a position statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. *Genet Med*. 2015;17(6):505-507. doi:10.1038/gim.2015.41
2. "Genetic Testing of Minors for Adult-Onset Conditions". Position Statement from National Society of Genetic Counselors. <https://www.nsgc.org/Policy-Research-and-Publications/Position-Statements/Position-Statements/Post/genetic-testing-of-minors-for-adult-onset-conditions>. Released February 15, 2017. Updated April 18, 2018.
3. Ross LF, Saal HM, David KL, Anderson RR; American Academy of Pediatrics; American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Technical report: Ethical and policy issues in genetic testing and screening of children [published correction appears in *Genet Med*. 2013 Apr;15(4):321. Ross, Laine Friedman [corrected to Ross, Lainie Friedman]]. *Genet Med*. 2013;15(3):234-245. doi:10.1038/gim.2012.176
4. Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med*. 2015;17(5):405-424. doi:10.1038/gim.2015.30
5. "Use of Multi-Gene Panel Testing." Position Statement from National Society of Genetic Counselors. <https://www.nsgc.org/Policy-Research-and-Publications/Position-Statements/Position-Statements/Post/use-of-multi-gene-panel-tests>. Released March 14, 2017. Reaffirmed 2020 and 2023
6. Genetic Support Foundation. Genetics 101 Inheritance Patterns: Familial Pathogenic Variant. Accessed 5/17/2024. <https://geneticsupportfoundation.org/genetics-101/#>
7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Occult Primary. Version 1.2025. [https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician\\_gls/pdf/occult.pdf](https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/occult.pdf)
8. ACCE Model Process for Evaluating Genetic Tests. (2010, December 28). Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Accessed 2023, June 5. <https://www.cdc.gov/genomics/gtesting/acce/index.htm>
9. Hayes DF. Defining Clinical Utility of Tumor Biomarker Tests: A Clinician's Viewpoint. *J Clin Oncol*. 2021;39(3):238-248. doi:10.1200/JCO.20.01572
10. Burke W. Genetic tests: clinical validity and clinical utility. *Curr Protoc Hum Genet*. 2014;81:9.15.1-9.15.8. Published 2014 Apr 24. doi:10.1002/0471142905.hg0915s81
11. Wang HY, Chen CH, Shi S, et al. Improving Multi-Tumor Biomarker Health Check-up Tests with Machine Learning Algorithms. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2020;12(6):1442. Published 2020 Jun



## Medica Central Coverage Policy

1. doi:10.3390/cancers12061442
12. Turner, KA, Algeciras-Schimnich, A. Multianalyte Assays with Algorithmic Analysis in Women’s Health. *Association for Diagnostics and Laboratory Medicine (ADLM)*. 2018. <https://www.aacc.org/clin/articles/2018/july/multianalyte-assays-with-algorithmic-analysis-in-womens-health>
13. Committee Opinion No. 690 (Reaffirmed 2023: Carrier Screening in the Age of Genomic Medicine. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;129(3):e35-e40. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000001951
14. Committee Opinion No. 691 Summary: Carrier Screening for Genetic Conditions. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017 (Reaffirmed 2023);129(3):597-599. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000001948
15. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists’ Committee on Practice Bulletins—Obstetrics; Committee on Genetics; Society for Maternal–Fetal Medicine. Practice Bulletin No. 162: Prenatal Diagnostic Testing for Genetic Disorders. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2016 (Reaffirmed 2020);127(5):e108-e122. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000001405
16. “Prenatal Testing for Adult-Onset Condition”. Position Statement from National Society for Genetic Counselors. <https://www.nsgc.org/Policy-Research-and-Publications/Position-Statements/Position-Statements/Post/prenatal-testing-for-adult-onset-conditions-1>. Released October 9, 2018. Updated June 26, 2019.
17. Committee Opinion No. 693: Counseling About Genetic Testing and Communication of Genetic Test Results. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017 (reaffirmed 2020);129(4):e96-e101. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002020

[back to top](#)

Note: The Health Plan uses the genetic testing clinical criteria developed by Concert Genetics, an industry-leader in genetic testing technology assessment and policy development.

	Committee/Source	Date(s)
<b>Document Created:</b>	Medical Policy Committee/Health Services Division	December 21, 2022
<b>Revised:</b>	Medical Policy Committee/Health Services Division	February 15, 2023
	Medical Policy Committee/Health Services Division	March 15, 2023
	Medical Policy Committee/Health Services Division	August 16, 2023
	Medical Policy Committee/Health Services Division	March 20, 2024
<b>Reviewed:</b>	Medical Policy Committee/Health Services Division	February 15, 2023
	Medical Policy Committee/Health Services Division	March 15, 2023
	Medical Policy Committee/Health Services Division	August 16, 2023
	Medical Policy Committee/Health Services Division	March 20, 2024

Original Effective Date: 04/01/2023

Re-Review Date(s): 12/19/2024- Concert Genetics Effective Date: January 01, 2025 (V.1.2025)

Administrative Update:

© 2023-2025 Medica.